IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

Civil Writ Petition:1262 of 2002.
Date of decision:12.04.2007.

Gita Nand Gautam **….Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. & Others **….Respondents.**

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice Dev Darshan Sud,J.
The Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rajiv Sharma,J.

Whether approved for reporting ?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr.Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Advocate.

For the Respondents:Mr.M.S. Chandel, Advocate General with Mr.Rajan Dewan, Additional Advocate General, and Mr.J.K. Verma, Deputy Advocate General.

Per Dev Darshan Sud,J.(Oral)

When the matter was taken up, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be granted liberty to file a representation before the Secretary (Horticulture) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh with respect to the grievances which he had made in the Original Application No.2310 of 1993 before the learned State Administrative Tribunal out of which the present writ petition arises.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the circumstances, we grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the Secretary (Horticulture) to

---

[1] ***Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgement?***

the Government of Himachal Pradesh for that part of the grievances which have not been considered by the Tribunal. If relief is not granted to the petitioner with respect to the grievance which he makes in the representation to the respondent, he is at liberty to approach this Court again. The Secretary (Horticulture) will afford personal hearing to the petitioner and consider all material which he will place on record. Needless to say that any representation, which shall be made by the petitioner, shall be disposed of by a reasoned order within a period of three months from today.

The writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(**Dev Darshan Sud)**
Judge.

April 12, 2007
(aks)

Rajiv Sharma)
Judge.